



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/743,300	01/08/2001	Michael Becker	3535.010	7235
7590 05/18/2004				
Stephan A Pendorf Pendorf & Cutliff 5111 Memorial Highway Tampa, FL 33634-7356		EXAMINER PIERCE, JEREMY R		
		ART UNIT 1771 PAPER NUMBER		

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/743,300	Applicant(s) BECKER ET AL.	
	Examiner Jeremy R. Pierce	Art Unit 1771	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jeremy R. Pierce. (3) _____
 (2) Evelyn DeFillo. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 May 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 7.

Identification of prior art discussed: WO 95/13252 to Kummermehr.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner informed Applicant that adding a "membrane-like" limitation to describe the foamed coating in the claims would likely render the claim indefinite under section 112, 2nd paragraph. Applicant argued that the weight limitation of claim 7 was important to the present invention and distinguishes the present invention from the prior art because it is lightweight. No agreement was reached, but Applicant informed the Examiner that an additional after-final amendment would be filed that would incorporate claim 7 into the independent claims, and arguments would set forth the importance of the weight limitation.